



QAS Committee meeting

Thursday 24 July 2025

Attending:	Nick Ong-Seng (Chair), Georgie Barnard, Kevin Cunningham, Maura Feddersen, Nikhil Dodhia, John Herbert, Kathryn Wilson
Executive Staff:	Darren Kerr, Emma Dalziel, Emma Gilpin
Apologies:	
Online or in person	Online

Item	Title	Action
1.	Welcome, Apologies & Conflicts	
1.1	<u>Welcome and Apologies</u> The Chair welcomed Committee members to the meeting	
1.2	<u>To declare any conflicts of interest</u> No conflicts of interest were noted.	
2.	Approve Meeting Minutes	
2.1	<u>Approve minute from 29 May 2025</u> The Committee confirmed that no amendments were required. The minute was approved as presented.	
3	Committee Action List	
3.1	To review live action list 427- The QAS team are waiting on one Specialist Review visit to take place. Once the organisation has received their final report the Executive will send all organisations a survey to obtain feedback on Specialist Reviews to date. Action to remain open 429 - The amendment to the QAS handbook is awaiting approval from the Regulatory Board. Action to remain open	



Item	Title	Action
	<p>443- The in-person SQAR forum date has changed to 24 September, and a Hybrid Committee Meeting will take place after.</p> <p>Action to be closed.</p>	
4	Executive Update & Committee Action Plan	
4.1	<p><u>To discuss Executive update</u></p> <p>FRC Meeting The Executive and Chair met with the FRC on 11 July 2025. The FRC provided positive feedback to the Executive and the Chair.</p> <p>PC Scheme Pilot [REDACTED]</p> <p>Specialist Reviews The Executive advised that all bar one organisation has had a Specialist Review on Quality Assurance. Once all organisations have received their final reports, the Executive confirmed that a feedback survey will be issued to obtain feedback on the Specialist Reviews to date. The survey results will be discussed at the September Committee meeting</p> <p>SQAR Forums The in-person SQAR Forum will be taking place on 24 September 2025, at Staple Inn Hall in London.</p> <p>The Committee reviewed the proposed agenda and provided positive feedback. [REDACTED]</p> <p>QAS Committee Vacancy The Committee discussed proactive ways to get interest for a new Committee member. The Executive will discuss further promotion of the vacancy with IFoA colleagues.</p> <p>Action</p>	Executive
4.2	<p>Committee Action Plan</p> <p>Marketing [REDACTED]</p>	



Item	Title	Action
	<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>The Executive has been in contact with three organisations that intend on providing promotional content for the QAS.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>ICAEW Re-accreditation visits</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	
	Emma Gilpin joined meeting 09.42	
5	QAS Monitoring and assessment review	
5.1	Review of QAS assessment monitoring and providers	
	[REDACTED]	
	Emma Gilpin left meeting 10.26	
6	ICAEW QAS Annual Monitoring Report	
6.1	<p>The Committee reviewed and noted the ICAEW's report.</p> <p>There were no outstanding issues, and the Committee were content with the information provided.</p>	
7	Application for re-accreditation	



7.1 [REDACTED]

The Committee reviewed the organisation's re-accreditation assessment report to support its application for re-accreditation.

After consideration, the Committee agreed that the application for re-accreditation should be approved.

BPF 2 25 to be closed- **Action**

Executive

[REDACTED]

7.2 [REDACTED]

The Committee reviewed the organisation's re-accreditation assessment report to support its application for re-accreditation.

After consideration, the Committee agreed that the application for re-accreditation should be approved.

[REDACTED]

7.3 [REDACTED]

The Committee reviewed the organisation's re-accreditation assessment report to support its application for re-accreditation.

After consideration, the Committee agreed that the application for re-accreditation should be approved.

[REDACTED]

7.4 [REDACTED]

The Committee reviewed the organisation's re-accreditation assessment report to support its application for re-accreditation.

After consideration, the Committee agreed that the application for re-accreditation should be approved.

All 3 open BPFs to be closed- **Action**

Executive

[REDACTED]



7.5 [REDACTED]

The Committee reviewed the organisation's assessment report to support its application to continue its accreditation.

After consideration, the Committee agreed to approve the continuation of accreditation.

[REDACTED]

7.6 [REDACTED] re-accreditation application

[REDACTED]

Item	Title	Action
	After consideration, the Committee approved the consolidation subject to a satisfactory assessment report from the ICAEW and that the information provided is more than adequate.	
8	Annual Return	
8.1	[REDACTED] Annual Return	
	The Committee reviewed the annual return. [REDACTED]	
9	Response to Best Practice Recommendations	
9.1	[REDACTED]	
	The Committee reviewed the response to the best practice recommendation. The Committee did not think that the response included enough information to justify closing the recommendation at this moment without additional information.	
	[REDACTED]	
10	AOB	
10.1	No AOB raised.	