



IFOA RESPONSE TO EXPOSURE DRAFT 'RISK MITIGATION ACCOUNTING – PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO IFRS 9 AND IFRS 7

The Institute and Faculty of Actuaries (IFoA) is a royal chartered, not-for-profit, professional body. We represent and regulate over 34,000 actuaries worldwide, and oversee their education at all stages of qualification and development throughout their careers. Actuaries are big-picture thinkers who use mathematical and risk analysis, behavioural insight and business acumen to draw insight from complexity. Our rigorous approach and expertise help the organisations, communities and governments we work with to make better-informed decisions. In an increasingly uncertain world, it allows them to act in a way that makes sense of the present and plans for the future.

1. The Institute and Faculty of Actuaries (IFoA) welcomes the opportunity to comment on the International Accounting Standards Board's (IASB) Exposure Draft (ED) *Risk Mitigation Accounting (RMA) – Proposed amendments to IFRS 9 and IFRS 7*, dated December 2025. We have been actively engaged in the development of insurance accounting, in particular IFRS 17 *Insurance Contracts*, for a number of decades, including responding to each ED published by the IASB and supporting the International Actuarial Association (IAA) global IFRS 17 work. In this context, our response focuses solely on Question 11 of the ED and the application of RMA to insurers in the UK.
2. It is important to note that, as for any IFoA response, we have considered the IASB ED on RMA from an independent, public interest perspective.
3. In the UK, many insurers' regulatory position, known as Solvency UK, is the primary basis used to determine hedging strategies. Solvency UK is Solvency II as amended in the UK post-Brexit by the Prudential Regulation Authority, part of the Bank of England. This reflects both solvency capital requirements and the basis on which dividends can be paid by insurance operations in the UK. UK insurers actively hedge many financial risks, such as equity, inflation and interest rate risk, using derivatives and other instruments.
4. Unlike UK banks, IFRS financial statements do not form the starting point for Solvency UK and, therefore, IFRS is typically a secondary consideration when UK insurers determine hedging strategies. In particular, IFRS financial statements, unlike Solvency UK, do not include solvency capital requirements or future profits on unit-linked investment contracts (as accounted for under IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*). As a consequence, to the extent that UK insurers hedge these components of the Solvency UK balance sheet, they are 'over-hedged' on an IFRS basis, resulting in additional volatility in IFRS profit and shareholders' equity. This disconnect between IFRS and Solvency UK is evident in the Adjusted Operating Profit measures reported by FTSE 100-listed UK life insurers and in the prevalence of Solvency UK-related Alternative Performance Measures (APMs or KPIs) used to manage insurance operations. The volatility in IFRS profit arising from hedging on a Solvency UK basis is commonly presented by UK life insurers as a distinct, and sometimes very significant, component of non-operating profit.

Beijing

Room 512 · 5/F Block A · Landgentbldg Center · No. 20 East Middle 3rd Ring Road · Chaoyang District
Beijing · 100022 · People's Republic of China

Tel: + 86 10 5878 3008

Edinburgh

Space · 1 Lochrin Square · 92-94 Fountainbridge · Edinburgh · EH3 9QA

Tel: +44 (0) 7632 2100

London (registered office)

1-3 Staple Inn Hall · High Holborn · London · WC1V 7QJ

Tel: +44 (0) 7632 2100

Malaysia

Arcc Spaces · Level 30 · Vancouver suite · The Gardens North Tower · Lingkaran Syed Putra · 59200 Kuala Lumpur

Tel: +60 12 591 3032

Oxford

Belsyre Court · 1st Floor · 57 Woodstock Road · Oxford · OX2 6HJ

Tel: +44 (0) 7632 2100

Singapore

Pacific Tech Centre · 1 Jln Kilang Timor · #06-01 · Singapore 159303

Tel: +65 8778 1784

5. In addition, certain aspects of accounting for insurance contracts under IFRS 17 both support and potentially hinder the faithful representation of hedging or introduce interest rate mismatches. For example, the Risk Mitigation Option (RMO) in IFRS 17 paragraphs B115 to B118 permits changes in the value of financial options and guarantees embedded within insurance contracts (accounted for under the variable fee approach) to be recognised in profit or loss rather than the contractual service margin (CSM), thereby closer to the recognition of changes in related hedging instruments (e.g. derivatives).
6. However, the locked-in interest rate applied to the CSM under the general measurement model results in counter-intuitive interest rate mismatches, which are presented by all FTSE 100 UK life insurers within non-operating profit. This arises because the assets backing the CSM liability are typically measured at fair value through profit or loss (although some life insurers reduce this mismatch by holding asset portfolios at amortised cost). In addition, when changes in future cash flows result in an unlocking of the CSM, counter-intuitive interest rate-related profit or loss can arise due to differences between current and locked-in rates. For example, for an annuity in payment, a reduction/increase in life expectancy (a favourable/non-favourable outcome from an insurer's perspective) reduces/increases future cash flows (measured at current rates) and increases/reduces the CSM by a larger amount (as the locked-in rates are typically lower than current rates), resulting in a counter-intuitive loss/profit for the insurer.
7. In our view, the IASB ED on RMA is unlikely to achieve the objective of better representing, in the financial statements, the economic effects of UK insurers' risk management activities, given the differences between an insurer's regulatory and IFRS position. In our experience, such a disconnect also exists in other territories. This is an area that, in our view, requires significant further analysis and consideration.

We trust that these comments will be helpful to the IASB in its assessment of the RMA ED. We reiterate the IFoA's strong commitment to supporting the IASB on insurance accounting matters and, in particular, would welcome the opportunity to discuss our comments further with the IASB.

Should you wish to discuss any of these points further, please contact Caroline Winchester in the first instance, caroline.winchester@actuaries.org.uk.